

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/869,175	TSUDA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dan Washburn	2672	

All Participants:

Status of Application: pending

(1) Dan Washburn. (3) _____.

(2) Jeffrey Filipek. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 March 2006

Time: 10 AM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1-48

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


Dan Washburn
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Washburn called Mr. Filipek regarding a restriction of applicant's invention into two groups, group 1: claims 1-20 and 34-48 and group 2: claims 21-33. Mr. Filipek instructed Examiner Washburn to mail out the restriction, as his clients are in Japan and aren't easily reached.